

1 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
 JAMES G. GILLILAND, JR. (State Bar No. 107988)
 2 TIMOTHY R. CAHN (State Bar No. 162136)
 MEHRNAZ BOROUMAND SMITH (State Bar No. 197271)
 3 HOLLY GAUDREAU (State Bar No. 209114)
 RYAN BRICKER (State Bar No. 269100)
 4 Two Embarcadero Center Eighth Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 5 Telephone: (415) 576-0200
 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300
 6 Email: jgilliland@kilpatricktownsend.com
 tcahn@kilpatricktownsend.com
 7 mboroumand@kilpatricktownsend.com
 hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com
 8 rbricker@kilpatricktownsend.com

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT
 AMERICA LLC, a Delaware limited
 liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

GEORGE HOTZ; HECTOR MARTIN
 CANTERO; SVEN PETER; and DOES
 1 through 100,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-cv-000167 SI

**MOTION FOR AN ORDER
 SHORTENING TIME FOR HEARING
 MOTION ON EXPEDITED DISCOVERY**

Date: TBD
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor
 Judge: Honorable Susan Illston



1 **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME**

2 TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to
3 Local Rule 6-1(b) and 6-3, Plaintiff SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC
4 (“SCEA”) hereby moves this Court for an Order Shortening Time to hear SCEA’s Motion
5 for Expedited Discovery filed concurrently with this Motion.

6 Local Rule 7-2(a) requires motions to be noticed no fewer than 35 days from the
7 filing date. However, as set forth below, SCEA urgently needs to expedite its jurisdictional
8 discovery so that SCEA can fully respond to the motion to dismiss for lack of personal
9 jurisdiction filed by Defendant George Hotz (“Hotz”), by **February 18, 2011**. Expedited
10 discovery is also necessary to promptly identify culpable parties responsible for distributing
11 circumvention devices in violation of SCEA’s rights under the Digital Millennium Copyright
12 Act, 17 U.S.C. § 1201, *et seq.* (“DMCA”) and the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18
13 U.S.C. § 1030, *et seq.* (“CFAA”). SCEA will be severely prejudiced – and at risk of
14 substantial harm – if its motion for expedited discovery is not heard on a shortened basis.

15 Under the usual time table for noticed motions, SCEA’s motion cannot be set for
16 hearing until March 11, 2011. However, this date is not early enough for SCEA’s motion to
17 be heard since Hotz’s motion to dismiss is currently scheduled to be heard that same day.
18 Therefore, absent an order shortening time, SCEA will be unable to complete discovery in
19 time to fully oppose Hotz’s motion to dismiss by February 18, 2011, when its opposition is
20 currently due.

21 Accordingly, SCEA requests an Order Shortening Time so that its motion can be
22 heard on February 9, 2011. This motion is based upon the Memorandum of Points and
23 Authorities herein, the accompanying Declaration of Holly Gaudreau In Support of Motion
24 for An Order Shortening Time To Hear Motion on Expedited Discovery (“Gaudreau Decl.”),
25 [Proposed] Order Granting Motion for An Order Shortening Time To Hear Motion on
26 Expedited Discovery, and any other evidence that may be presented at or before the
27 hearing on this motion.



MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**I. BACKGROUND**

SCEA is the exclusive licensed distributor of the PlayStation®3 computer entertainment system (the “PS3 System”) in the United States and owner of copyrights in many original video game software titles developed to play on the PS3 System. On January 11, 2011, SCEA filed a Complaint against Hotz, Hector Martin Cantero (“Cantero”) and Sven Peter (“Peter”), as well as “Doe” Defendants (collectively, “Defendants”),¹ based on, among other things, Defendants’ circumvention of technological protection measures (“TPMs”) in the PS3 System and their online distribution of the circumvention devices they utilized.² In an expeditious attempt to stop the distribution of these illicit circumvention devices, SCEA filed a motion seeking a Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”) against Hotz.

On January 27, 2011, this Court granted SCEA’s motion for a TRO and directed the parties to meet and confer on a briefing schedule and hearing date. Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motion for TRO (Docket No. 51) at 2. SCEA and Hotz stipulated to a schedule for Hotz’s motion to dismiss and agreed on a March 11, 2011 hearing date. Hotz filed his motion to dismiss on February 2, 2011, and SCEA is scheduled to file its opposition on February 18, 2011. However, counsel for Hotz did not agree to allow SCEA to take expedited discovery in connection with the motion to dismiss, thus SCEA was forced to file its motion for expedited discovery. Declaration of Holly Gaudreau In Support of Motion for Expedited Discovery, ¶ 3.

Accordingly, SCEA is seeking leave to take expedited and targeted discovery in order to:

¹ Defendants Cantero, Peter and Does 1 (“Bushing”) and 2 (“Segher”) formed and are members of a hacker group called Fail0verflow (collectively, the “FAIL0VERFLOW Defendants”).

²In its Complaint, SCEA’s asserts that Defendants’ conduct – including trafficking in unlawful circumvention devices that facilitate piracy of video game software – violates the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 501 *et seq.*, the DMCA, the CFAA and a host of other common and state laws.



1 (1) be responsive to the Court's desire that the motion to dismiss be based on a further
2 factual record; (2) build further evidence that sufficient contacts exist between Hotz and
3 California and to further establish the harm to SCEA in California resulting from Hotz's
4 unlawful conduct; and (3) rebut contrary assertions made in Hotz's motion to dismiss and
5 supporting declarations.

6 **II. SCEA WILL BE SEVERELY PREJUDICED AND IS AT RISK OF SUBSTANTIAL**
7 **HARM IF AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO HEAR ITS MOTION FOR**
8 **EXPEDITED DISCOVERY IS NOT GRANTED**

9 Due to the urgent nature of this matter, SCEA's motion for expedited discovery is
10 only effective if it is heard on a shortened time table. Indeed, SCEA will be severely
11 prejudiced, and is at risk of substantial harm, if its motion is not heard on a shortened basis
12 because SCEA will not be able to complete the necessary jurisdictional discovery before it
13 must file its opposition to Hotz's motion to dismiss. Moreover, the infringers will continue
14 to distribute the illicit circumvention devices while SCEA must wait to be heard on its
15 request to discover the identities and locations of those infringers.

16 SCEA satisfies the requirements for an order shortening time. Pursuant to Local
17 Rule 6-3(a) (1)-(6), the accompanying Declaration of Holly Gaudreau (¶¶2-8) sets forth the
18 following: (1) the reasons for the requested change in time, with particularity; (2) a
19 description of efforts that SCEA has made to obtain a stipulation to the change in time; (3)
20 identification of the substantial harm or prejudice that would occur if the Court does not
21 grant the request for a change in time; (4)(i) a description of SCEA's compliance with Civil
22 L. R. 37-1(a); (4)(ii) a description of the nature of the underlying dispute that will be
23 addressed in the motion and a summary of each party's position on the matter; (5)
24 disclosure of all previous time modifications in the case, whether by stipulation or Court
25 order; and (6) any effect the requested time modification would have on the schedule for
26 the case.

26 **III. PROPOSED SCHEDULE ON MOTION FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY**

27 SCEA requests that this Court adopt the following briefing and hearing schedule
28



1 for the motion for expedited discovery:

2

Last Day to File Opposition: February 8, 2011 by 5:30 p.m.

3

4

Hearing: February 9, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

5

6

IV. CONCLUSION

7

For the foregoing reasons, SCEA respectfully requests that the Court grant its

8

Motion for an Order Shortening Time and adopt its proposed briefing schedule.

9

DATED: February 4, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

10

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

11

12

By: /s/ James G. Gilliland, Jr.

13

JAMES G. GILLILAND, JR.

14

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA
LLC

15

16

63135126 v1

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

